IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI DEVINDER GUPTA,THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B.SESHASAYANA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 2781 of 2001

Between:

1 S.Indira Bai, D/o. S.Vittal Rao.
R/o. D.No.8/303, Sainagar, Cuddapah.
2 T.Ravi Shanker, S/o. Pedda Venkata Subbaiah.
R/o. Sarankalipeta, Khajipet Mandal,
Cuddapah.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Hon'ble District Judge-cum-The District Legal Services Cuddapah.
Cuddapah District.
2 The Administrative Officer, District Court,
Cuddapah. Cuddapah District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents in not receiving and issuing call letter to the petitioners on the ground that having Higher Qualification contrary to the both the Judgment reported in 1996 (6) SCALE, Page-676 and AIR 1999 Supreme Court Weekly at Page-512 for the selection in the post Attenders / Process Server under their control for which interview schedule from 15/02/2001 to 22/02/2001 or any other subsequent date that may be fixed by the 1st Respondent, as illegal, arbitrary and un-warranted.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.MOHD.GULAM RASOOL (Not present)
Counsel for the Respondents: None present

The Court made the following :

ORAL ORDER: (per the Hon'ble the Chief Justice)

None for the petitioners.

Petitioners questioned the action of the respondents in not calling them for interview on the ground that they are having higher qualifications. This decision was questioned on the ratio of the decisions of the Supreme Court. By interim order, petitioners were permitted to appear for the interview subject to the result of the writ petition. Since nobody is appearing for the petitioners, it appears that the petitioners are no more interested in prosecuting the writ petition.

The petition is accordingly dismissed.

_____

DEVINDER GUPTA, CJ

23-12-2004

_____

B.SESHASAYANA REDDY, J

tvr

To

1. The District Judge-cum-Chairman,The District Legal Services Cuddapah,

Cuddapah District.

2. The Administrative Officer, District Court,
Cuddapah. Cuddapah District.

3. 2 CD copies.